From: SCALIA, ANTHO	DNY [ANTHONY.SCALIA@nypd.org]
Sent: Monday, July 27	, 2009 11:57 AM
To: @aol.com	1
Subject: info regarding ho	pmicide in 1994 in the bronx

hello I am det. Scalia of the cold case squad. I recieved a call from crime stoppers with regards to the informationyou gave. The problem is i need more information, if you can call me at 718 834-4580 or 4585 or respond to me via Email at ANTHONY <u>SCALIA@nypd.org</u>. hope to hear from you soon

From: Sent: To: Subject: @aol.com Monday, July 27, 2009 7:42 PM ANTHONY.SCALIA@nypd.org Re: info regarding homicide in 1994 in the bronx

I have been having difficulty pinning down exactly when the murder occurred and the identity of the victim. In fact, the only thing that I am certain of is that the murder did in fact occur, but I cannot even be certain that the details I was told about it are entirely accurate.

I believe the murder occurred in early 1994, during the snow season. That would narrow it down to between Jan and April of 1994. This is my recollection, but if you are unable to locate it, then the second identifier that I am certain of is that it occurred while I was attending Hofstra University, which would put it between Jan of 04 and Jan of 06.

The victim and his friend, Abid Chaudhry, were both residents of New Jersey. Abid eventually became involved in the drug trade and became an intermediary between the victim and a man named Raoul Campana. Raoul was high level drug trafficker and associate of several organized crime gangs, one of which was the Maisonet organization from the Bronx (Google Francisco Maisonet and heroin). Several months before the murder, the victim agreed to sell Raoul approximately 150 grams of Pakistani heroin for about \$10,000 through Abid, the middleman in the transaction. The victim demanded that Raoul pay the money up front before receiving the drugs, and Raoul and Abid eventually acquiesced to his demand. They gave the victim the money, and the victim disappeared. They were supposed to receive the drugs within an hour, and the guy never showed. He completely disappeared with the money, and never called them back.

Raoul and Abid eventually tracked down the victim, who had apparently left to Pakistan with the money. The victim spent a few months in Pakistan telling Abid that he was not stealing the money, but that he was actually planning to use the \$10,000 to buy the heroin and import it himself. During the months when the victim was in Pakistan, Abid was being threatened by members of Raoul's organized crime gang to come up with the \$10,000. Abid did not have it. I recall that it was a very stressful time for Abid. For several months Abid was pleading with the victim to return to America and get him out of the trouble he was in. The victim ultimately purchased about a kilogram of pure heroin in Pakistan, and after a few months, he showed up back in America with the drugs.

The victim immediately gave Abid the 150 grams originally promised, with an additional 150 grams on "consignment" for the trouble he put Abid and Raoul through over the months. He refused to give them any more drugs until they paid for the quantity that was given them on consignment. Abid and Raoul were not happy with that arrangement. Since they knew that their money ultimately purchased the entire shipment that the victim was now withholding from them, they grew angry with the victim. From Raoul and Abid's perspective, they were equal partners with the victim for the entire shipment since it was their money that the victim invested to import it. The victim essentially forced them to invest in the importation scheme against their will, when all Raoul and Abid wanted was to make a quick purchase.

Eventually Abid and Raoul agreed to rob the victim for the drugs. Abid was concerned that if they robbed the victim, that the victim would attempt to take revenge against Abid's family back in Pakistan. Abid made it clear to Raoul that the only way they could rob the victim, was if they killed him in the process in order to remove the possibility of retaliation. Raoul agreed to kill the victim for Abid, and they began to plan the hit.

Raoul approached another man who was an associate of an Italian mafia organization that Raoul dealt with. This guy, whom I also know, provided Raoul with a hitman. So if you are keeping up with me, there are at least four people that I know of that were involved with this murder. Raoul and Abid planned it, and then there was the mafia intermediary and the hitman.

So Raoul and Abid called the victim and pretended that they were going to pay him for the heroin that was given on "consignment." They both picked up the victim, drove him to the Bronx and picked up the hitman on the way to go "pick up the money." All four of them went to a deserted area of the Bronx where Raoul, Abid and the hitman were claiming they were going to pick up the money, then they induced the victim to leave the vehicle, and while they were on the street somewhere in the Bronx, the hitman crept up behind the victim and shot him in the head. After the victim fell to the ground, the hitman shot him several more times in the head to make sure he died.

Abid and Raoul then dropped off the hitman somewhere in the Bronx, and returned to the victim's home in New Jersey. They located the stash of heroin and stole it. They eventually agreed to sell the drugs (about a kilo) to Francisco Maisonet for approximately \$90,000. Frank took the drugs and paid Raoul \$45,000 up front, with another \$40,000 to come after the drugs were sold. Frank was supposed to pay the remaining money after a few days, but somehow Frank found out that Raoul killed the dealer and stole the drugs, even though Raoul was claiming that he had to pay the dealer back for the drugs. Frank decided that he was not going to pay the remaining money since the drugs were all profit for Raoul anyway. Frank accomplished this by beganing to claim that the drugs were low purity, so Frank demanded that he wanted to return the drugs and get his money back. Frank knew that Raoul would never give the money back fearing that Frank had already diluted the drugs in the time he had possession, so instead of allowing Raoul to put pressure on him for the remaining money, Frank immediately began putting pressure on Raoul to take back the drugs and return his money. Of course, once Raoul refused to return the money, Frank could conveniently refuse to pay the remainder that was owed on the grounds that it was he that was being robbed. This eventually led to a very complicated situation.

Immediately after the murder, the police considered Abid a suspect. Apparently, the victim had confided in someone about his dealings with Abid, and when the victim showed up dead, the story got out that Abid was involved. The police were searching for Abid everywhere. At that time, Raoul was hiding Abid in Astoria Queens and at the Pinnacle (a luxury condominium building on Queens Boulevard), where Raoul lived at the time. Raoul knew that if Abid was arrested, that he would likely turn him in for the murder. Raoul gave Abid \$25,000 and instructed him to leave the country. Abid immediately left to the Dominican Republic, where he spent an unknown amount of time before eventually heading to Pakistan. So now all Raoul had left was \$20,000 and he still had to pay the hitman \$5,000 for the hit, which he was planning to do with the remaining money from Frank. Since Frank stiffed Raoul for the remainder of the money, Raoul then went to the hitman and told him that Frank stole the hitman's money and that the hitman should go get his money from Frank (apparently trying to force a confrontation between to rival organized crime syndicates). The hitman was pissed off at the complications, and eventually he threatened to kill Raoul if he wasn't paid for his services. Frank was also threatening to kill Raoul for defying his directive to return his money and for trying to start a war, so Raoul fled New York and moved to Florida. Before he fled to Florida, he told me the entire story.

So it is now approximately 1996, and Raoul is living in Florida and Abid is living in Pakistan. Raoul and Abid kept in contact with each other over the years since the murder, and Abid was attempting to source out heroin connections while in Pakistan to continue his dealings with Raoul. In the meantime, Raoul was a marked man in New York, with at least two contracts out on his life, and numerous enemies. Raoul also knew that if Abid ever returned to the United States that he would get arrested for the murder and likely turn him in, and that is when Raoul began to consider killing Abid to eliminate him as a witness. Raoul confided in me in what he wanted to do to Abid, and I was extremely against it. I was friends with Abid and I didn't want him to be killed, especially since it was Raoul to initially corrupt him by bringing him into the drug business. In 1996, Raoul had made several trips to New York, and during those trips I met with him and tape recorded two conversations where we discussed the murder and his apparent desire to have Abid "out of the picture." I wanted to turn Raoul into the police in order to protect both his and Abid's life, and I also had a feeling that Raoul would not last much longer with all the enemies he had. Before I could be successful, Raoul was eventually killed in North Carolina by another mutual friend of ours, a man by the name of Kun Young Yoon (Google him). Yoon was arrested almost immediately after killing Raoul, and he eventually served 10 years for that murder before being released.

So know I have a taped conversations with a dead man discussing a murder he committed and implicating others in the murder. Although Raoul is dead, there are several things to consider with regards to these tapes. First, there were two other people whose voices are present on those tapes. These two people knew that I was recording Raoul, and they could testify and corroborate that Raoul was indeed present during those tapes. Second, the family could easily confirm Raoul's voice is on those tapes, especially with his very distinct voice and accent. Third, I took the tapes to a well known attorney in Manhattan in 1996 while attempting to turn Raoul in for the murder, and this attorney also listened to the tapes in their entirety, prior to Raoul being killed. Furthermore, I can corroborate the date and time on those tapes as having occurred during dates when Raoul flew to New York. With all those things considered, it is possible that the tape may still be admissible in some capacity.

Second, I was given details of the murder that only the murderers could know. These are some of the distinct details I was told by Raoul, and I am confident that he was being truthful with me. You can even hear some of these details on the tapes. The victim was apparently a blackbelt in karate, so they wanted to make sure they surprised him in a way where he could not thwart the hit. The murder also occurred immediately prior to a big snowstorm. The victim was killed with special ammunition that defeats ballistic tests. The type of ammunition was either .38 Glazers or shotshells. The murder weapon was a revolver. The victim was shot multiple times in the head, and there was some comments made at some point about the victim being in the process of urinating when he was shot. I think he was relieving himself on the street when the hitman shot him, so he may have been found with his pants zipper down or something like that. After the hitman shot the victim, they all ran back to the car. After they got back in the car, they realized they forgot to get the victims

wallet. Raoul commanded Abid to run back to the victim and get his wallet, and Abid refused so they simply fled the scene. The victim should have still had his wallet when he was found.

Sometime after the murder, the corpse of the victim was transported to New Jersey. I believed this transport took place in the morning.

#### Other important things:

If I recall correctly, the ammunition that was used to kill the victim, came in a plastic shrink-wrapped type packaging containing six bullets. I can lead you to one of the bullets that came from that exact package, and this remaining bullet is NOT inside the victims head. I assume that a molecular analysis of the bullet I can provide, and the fragments in the victims head, will be molecularly consistent as having come from the same batch of metal. This is a key piece of physical evidence that can tie Abid Chaudhry to the murder, and it can stand on it's own since it is also possible that I can tell you how to link this bullet to Abid through fingerprints.

I can also provide a lead to telephone records that can corroborate communication between the victim, Raoul and Abid, while the victim was in Pakistan.

I have uploaded an audio clip of one of the taped conversations between myself and Raoul (this clip comes from a 30 minute conversation, beginning to end). I followed the Manhattan attorney's directions when I made the tape. This attorney told me that I must start the recording from the very beginning of the conversation and let it record all the way out to the end, which is what I did. The first conversation is 20 minutes and the second is 30 minutes. During the conversation, you can see that Raoul and I were brainstorming what evidence could possibly link Raoul to the murder, and I am asking him various questions. You can take the snippet to Raoul's family to confirm it is his voice on the tape. I have other home videos that can corroborate certain other aspects of this saga, including numerous hours of home videos containing high level organized crime figures, some of which are mentioned in this email. In fact, these home videos alone could have put numerous people in prison, but they have never been made public.

The link for the audio clip is as follows:

#### http://www .com/RMC 11-4-96-337pm.mp3

Another thing. During the course of my investigating this event to try and locate the name of the victim, I went through the Newsday Archives of murders between Jan and April of 1994. This was the closest victim I could find that matches the description. I pasted the Newsday article below. The only things that don't match up with the name below is that is says Mohamed Syed is from Queens, when I am fairly certain the victim is from Jersey. Also, it says the murder was on Jan 29th, but I could not find a weather report that confirms a snowstorm within a day of that date. It only looks promising because the murder was in the Bronx, the age of the victim seems about right, and it was the only Pakistani sounding name I could find within that time period.

## The Toll 3 STAND ALONE CHART [CITY Edition]

Newsday - Long Island, N.Y.Date:Jan 29, 1994Start Page:13Section:NEWSText Word Count:151

#### **Document Text**

Homocides by gunshot reported by city police during the 24-hour period ending at 6 p.m. yesterday

8:45 p.m. Thursday: Roberto Rivas, 20, of the Bronx, shot once in the head in a bodega at 1038 Rogers Ave. in Brooklyn. Another man was wounded in the shooting.

5:47 a.m. Friday: Mohammed Syed, 28, of Queens, found shot numerous times in a vacant lot on East 164th Street and Stebbins Avenue in the Bronx.

8:40 a.m. Friday: The body of an unidentified man, bound with duct tape and telephone cords, found in a plastic garbage can in the truck of a stolen car abandoned at the Metropolitan Hotel on Corona Avenue in Queens. The man was shot twice in the head and stabbed numerous times. Total in 1994: 87

I hope this helps. Please let me know that you received this email.

From: Sent: To: Subject: SCALIA, ANTHONY [ANTHONY.SCALIA@nypd.org] Wednesday, July 29, 2009 5:12 PM @aol.com RE: info regarding homicide in 1994 in the bronx

Hello this is Det. Scalia I did recieve your E-Mail, but on one part you indicate that Raoul hired a hit man from the mob who you also know, if you can do you have a name or what family this hit man belonged to. I am going to check that bronx homicide that you sent me in that news clip. Hope to hear from you soon.

thank you

From: Sent: To: Subject: SCALIA, ANTHONY [ANTHONY.SCALIA@nypd.org] Thursday, July 30, 2009 2:54 PM @aol.com bronx homicide

hey nolo, this is Det Scalia got some good news I located the homicide in the bronx, but if it is at all possible I need more information with regard to the video tape you have and if you can expand on the audio tape that you gave me in your last E-Mail. I would like to meet with you in person to talk with about this homicide, and maybe regester you as a confidential informant. I need more information in regards to the italian mafia and what family may have been involved, and possibley the name of the hit man if you know it.

Thank You Tony Scalia

#### @aol.com --- Sent

From:	@aol.com
Sent:	Thursday, July 30, 2009 8:11 PM
To:	ANTHONY.SCALIA@nypd.org
Subject:	Re: bronx homicide
Attachments:	BB_MurderLineup.jpg

Is it really still an <u>unsolved</u> homicide? That is absolutely nuts. I cannot believe that the only way I could get someone to locate this event was to submit a tip through crimestoppers of all things. I was beginning to believe that the only reason no one ever called me back was because it was already solved or something like that, but because I never got actual confirmation of this, I just kept trying. Absolutely amazing.

Perhaps email is not the best way to do this. I could meet with you, but the only thing is that I have no plans to return to the States at the moment. I'm in Marrakesh, Morocco. You're more than welcome to swing through if you want, or you can wait until I return to America. Unfortunately, my return could be in a few months away or longer, as I don't have a timeline at the moment. I have been here since 2006 so far, and when I came. I bought a one-way ticket with no plans on returning. I went back for a week in October of 2008 to testify in a technology case in Florida, and that was the last time I was in America. I guess it's been 15 years already in the Chaudhry case, so a little longer isn't going to make much difference, but that should be your decision, not mine. Law enforcement negligence in the past has allowed the Chaudhry case to remain unsolved long enough for at least one witness to be killed (as was the case with Raoul), and as more time passes it is possible that other critical evidence and witnesses could become unavailable, or un-apprehended hitmen could continue to be allowed to roam the streets free to claim more victims (which is another crazy thing, as if this hitman has killed any more people since I first tried to turn him in 13 years ago, then I would imagine that whoever dropped the ball on my tip back in 1996 could end up getting in trouble). I have not kept in contact with many of these OC people since about 2003, so I could not even tell you if some of them, including the hitman in the Chaudhry case, are still alive. I know Abid is still alive since he is currently locked up at USP Atlanta, and Frank and Nolo are apparently still alive since they are doing life in federal prison as well. Nolo is not my name, but actually he was a hitman for the Maisonet organization, which is who came to my mind when I chose this AOL screenname back in 1998. You can call me Alex instead of Nolo. I think Pat Stiso is also still alive, but he has been released from prison a while back, and I think several other people are also still alive since they appear to be incarcerated or just recently released, then there are many other people whose real names I don't know, so they would probably have to be tracked down with other identifying information in my possession. I have the audio and video tapes with me here in Morocco as well, and I can provide them to you, as well as the rest of the information you need, if we meet.

#### P.S.

There are several more murders I could probably solve (between 1992 and 2001), spanning several states, two of which I was present on the scene. The first murder was about 17 years ago, the second one probably 16 years ago, and the rest are anywhere from 16 years ago, to the last murder I witnessed which was 9 years ago. In that last murder, I actually ended up driving one of the wounded parties from a shootout to the hospital immediately following the shootout (he ended up surviving, but my other friend died in that same shootout). I was picked up by the police at the hospital when I arrived there with a gunshot victim, and I was taken to the precinct and asked to point out the triggerman in a lineup, but I refused for good reason. I believe that murder is also still unsolved. If I were a betting man, I would bet that all these murders are still unsolved at the moment, especially since you appear to be saying that the Chaudhry murder is also unsolved, which just boggles the mind.

What I had been doing for nearly a decade (unbeknownst to all the OC people I was hanging out with at the time), is gathering, documenting and preserving evidence related to their crimes, and I was doing this on my own without any help from law enforcement, with the intent to someday provide this information to law enforcement. Throughout the years I have tried to provide various portions of this evidence to law enforcement at various times, including as early as 1996 when I first attempted to drop the tip in the Chaudhry case. At that time I was instructed by my attorney, Roger Bennet Adler, on how to make the tapes on Raoul, and after I made them and Roger heard them, Roger contacted the feds about the murder but they inexplicably never asked to talk to me about it. You are the first person in law enforcement to even confirm that the Chaudhry murder actually took place, as I have never once been questioned in that case or any other murder case I have information on, except for the last murder in 2000 when I was dragged into the precinct and asked to pick the shooter out of a lineup. Actually, when I was dragged into the precinct that morning in 2000, I noticed a photo that looked like a lineup laying on the desk of the police officer when he was asking me to view the lineup. When the officer left the room for a moment, I went to his desk to inspect the photo and I immediately recognized the killer (no 4), so

I swiped the photo (which the cop didn't notice at the time) and took it home with me in an effort to continue documenting all these murders on my own. It's attached to this email and I believe that the police can confirm that the killer was no4 even though I never officially picked him out. The 2000 murder has nothing to do with the Chaudhry case, but I do believe that the case is still unsolved as well. There is an archive of other material in my possession that could also help to solve some of these cases, including photo's and newspaper articles about some of the unsolved crimes.

From: Sent: To: Subject: SCALIA, ANTHONY [ANTHONY.SCALIA@nypd.org] Friday, July 31, 2009 7:01 AM @aol.com RE: bronx homicide

Hey Alex I got your E-mail and I thank you. I think that i will wait for your return to the U.S. I hope it is before two years for thats when I am retiring. But until then if you can, until then give me a little more about the 2000 homicide if it was in the five boroughs, I need time, borough, what precinct, and if possible a victims name. Again this is if you can remember. The other thing is if you can make copies of the video and audio tapes that you have and send them to me so I can eagerly work on these cases, and maybe put and end to these cases by solving them together.

P.S I did not get the audio that you said was on this last E-Mail.

Thank You Tony Scalia

From: Sent: To: Subject: SCALIA, ANTHONY [ANTHONY.SCALIA@nypd.org] Friday, July 31, 2009 7:40 AM @aol.com RE: bronx homicide

Hey alex hows it going, I forgot to give an address in the event that you able to make copies of all the information you have and mail it to me the address is as follows: 300 gold st Brooklyn N.Y.11201. Again if there is a problem the job will reenburst you on the mailing fee. I am reading the case folder as I write this E-mail, and let me know if I can send you names via E-mail of people involved in the chaudhry case as to their relevance.

Thank you Tony Scalia

## @aol.com --- Sent

From: Sent: To: Subject: @aol.com Friday, July 31, 2009 12:24 PM ANTHONY.SCALIA@nypd.org Re: bronx homicide

Yeah you can send names if you want. Can you also send me the name of the victim, and the date he was found. I will see if I can post up any more clips. I didn't send an audio clip in the last email, I attached a picture. If you didn't get it I'll post it up and send you the link. I sent an audio clip in the first email, the one with Raoul. Did you not receive that clip?

From: Sent: To: Subject: SCALIA, ANTHONY [ANTHONY.SCALIA@nypd.org] Friday, July 31, 2009 12:44 PM @aol.com RE: bronx homicide

Hey Alex hows it going, the victims name was Mohammad Syed and he was found in the bronx, at East 164 st and stebbins ave. shot in the head.

If you can Alex those two homicides you know about where one of your friends was killed if you can give me month year Borough and victims name. Again I your man I will see if we can get closure to all these open cases.

> Thank You Tony Scalia

From: Sent: To: Subject: SCALIA, ANTHONY [ANTHONY.SCALIA@nypd.org] Friday, July 31, 2009 1:59 PM @aol.com RE: bronx homicide

Hey Alex here are a few names, maybe you can shed some light to their relevence to this case the names are as follows; 1.Mohammed Ayub 2. Ikram Haq 3.Ahmed Shahzad 4. Mohammed Ansar.

Hope to hear from you soon.

Thank You Tony Scalia From: Sent: To: Subject: @aol.com Friday, July 31, 2009 3:46 PM ANTHONY.SCALIA@nypd.org Re: bronx homicide

1. Mohammed Ayub 2. Ikram Haq 3. Ahmed Shahzad 4. Mohammed Ansar.

These names are not familiar, but most people never use their real names anyway. Photos would probably be better. Most people that roll in these circles, spanish, pakistani and otherwise, have American nicknames that they use (most people never knew my name either). Do you know their nicknames? Is one of them Abid's uncle (he one who was arrested by the DEA in a federal heroin case in Jersey I believe? I don't know if that guy was his real uncle or just a close friend he called his uncle, but I do know that for sure he was a family friend at least. This "uncle" tried to set Abid up by luring him to a hotel to pick up the drugs he had been caught with, and I know the DEA was looking to arrest Abid in that drug case which actually happened sometime before the murder. I don't know his uncle's name or nickname. That drug case was the first time Raoul hid Abid from law enforcement. He hid abid from the DEA for a few months until they stopped trying to find him. That was another close call for Abid, but he didn't learn from that one and proceeded to the murder shortly afterwards.

I can post up the videos on youtube.com and you can view them, so there is no need for postal service. If there is a need for the originals in the future, I can provide them. All original masters are on magnetic media (Hi8 for the video, and the audio is on microcassettes).

Before I post up any video clips, I would like you to do something for me if you are able. Can you contact S/A John Hannah from the DEA, and find out the name of the S/A he set me up to meet with after the 911 attacks. I only got his first name, which was Jimmy (who Agent Hannah said was a good friend of his), and there was also another agent present when I was interviewed at DEA headquarters approximately a week after 911. This other agent was of Asian, Oriental persuasion, but I did not get his name. Please see if you can find out the identities of those two agents. Agent Hannah was not present during my meeting with them, so no matter what he says, make sure you can get the names of those two agents specifically, and once you are able to locate them, please speak to Agent Jimmy directly and ask him if he (or whoever he was working with at the time) ever obtained the audio tapes of the Chaudhry Murder, or any of the video tapes I made between 1995 and 1996, during any investigation they may have initiated against me after my attempt to cooperate in September of 2001. I need for them to officially tell another law enforcement agency, on the record, that they have no idea these tapes exist. If you really want to understand the depth of all this, then this is an important thing to establish prior to my posting up clips from these videos. When you see the clips, then you will understand.

The 2000 murder where I was present was Ahmed Hasan

An article in the murder: www\_\_\_\_\_.com/Medina\_BoraBora.jpg

A picture of the gunshot victim I drove to the hospital: Left:Joey Gambetta Middle:Jason Amato (the gunshot victim) Right: Eddie Sultan www.com/JoeyJasonEddie.jpg

Picture of the lineup from the murder: www.com/BB\_MurderLineup.jpg

Picture of the victims brother: www\_com/Medina\_RamiHassan.jpg

In that 2000 murder I think the cops hit dead ends, but they were also investigating other events related to Rami Hasan that I knew about, like the shooting of Boris (shot in the head while sitting in Rami's car) in Astoria. There may also be another murder related to this one but like the Chaudhry case, I don't know names of victims, or if they actually exist (like the Chaudhry situation).

Another murder was between Sep 12 and 13th in 1992 in Woodside queens. It was a retaliatory drive-by shooting conducted in response to an earlier drive-by shooting in Elmhurst, Queens. The earlier drive by shooting was

documented in the following article, but the retaliatory strike is not documented. I believe that in the retaliatory strike, a victim was killed and another victim paralyzed from the waist down in Woodside, Queens (the rival gang's territory). It was the same outcome of the earlier shooting in Elmhurst where Kevin was killed and Tom was paralyzed. It was later discovered that the victims in the retaliatory drive-by were not even members of "TA7", but were rather innocent victims that just happened to be standing on the corner in the wrong neighborhood, on the wrong night. I know the participants of the retaliatory strike, and one of them was even recruited as a federal informant in a drug case, even though he was hiding his involvement in this murder from them. Abid Chaudhry did the same thing by being an informant in a drug case where he informed on Farooq (his co-defendant in that case), but was still denying involvement with this murder all the while because he did not think he could be convicted of it should they ever try to charge it (especially if the police would have attempted to proceed without having the other participants in custody). The feds sure know how to pick informants, but the problem is that they don't really know how to admit when they made a mistake with some of them.

#### www .com/TNS BroadwayShootout.htm

#### There is also a 1996 murder in Washington DC.

There is also a mass murder (four or more victims) in Corona, Queens, although I located one online and it appears to be solved, I don't know if that is the one, as there may have been more than one mass murder fitting the same description at the same time in that same neighborhood. If there is one that is still unsolved, it would make more sense considering that there appears to be someone still not apprehended.

There is also a East New York shooting of a cop that could have been prevented, but because of borderline criminal negligence by a federal parole officer, the shooting was allowed to happen when it was completely preventable. The shooter in that case was killed by the police, but the feds were never admonished for their role in that event, nor is it even known to the NYPD that the feds had some responsibility for what happened. Khan was an acquaintance of mine. At some point shortly before the police shooting, he was harassing another friend of mine. This other friend approached me and asked me to intervene with Khan to tell him to stop bothering him. At this time, Khan had already gained possession of the gun he used to shoot the cop (I know who provided him with the gun), and he was menacing my other friend with this gun (they both lived in the same building). I told Khan to stop bothering this kid, but he wouldn't stop at which point the kid called Khan's federal parole officer and pleaded with him to protect him from Khan. The parole officer (Richard James), completely dropped the ball and did nothing to arrest Khan, even though Khan had just been arrested the previous week for state charges (which was immediately a violation of his parole). If the arrest was not enough to lock Khan up, then the PO should have jumped once he received a call from my friend notifying him that Khan's was armed and threatening him. This PO entirely ignored the situation for enough time to pass where the cop was shot.

www	.com/Medina	KhanDailyNews.jpg
www	.com/Medina	KhanNewsday.jpg
www	.com/Medina	KhanPost.jpg

So please, don't just tell me that you tracked down S/A Jimmy without doing it, just to get more stuff out of me. It's not just murders. Reach out to Detective Mark Valencia from the 111th precinct and see if they solved the Star Nissan robbery in Bayside that he once tried to question me about (where I denied all knowledge). I can solve that one as well if it is still unsolved. Call Kennedy airport and see if they can tell you about the approximately \$580,000 in US currency that was intercepted on a PIA flight to Pakistan between 2002 and 2003. I don't know the exact date, but I am positive that I was working at MTV when the interception occurred, so I can narrow it down to that time period. I have never had an opportunity to explain to law enforcement the importance of that event as it relates to terrorism, nor have I ever had the opportunity to discuss the Flushing, Queens Afghani connection to the stinger missiles. I hope we can solve some of these cases before you retire, but even more so before a jumbo jet is shot down somewhere in the world when it could have possibly been prevented.

## U.S. District Court District of New Jersey [LIVE] (Newark) CRIMINAL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:93-cr-00145-WGB All Defendants

Case title: USA v. HAQ, et al	Date Filed: 03/26/1993 Date Terminated: 11/03/1994
Assigned to: Judge William G. Bassler	
<u>Defendant (1)</u> <mark>IKRAM HAQ</mark>	
<u>Pending Counts</u> None	<b>Disposition</b>
<u>Highest Offense Level (Opening)</u> None	
<u>Terminated Counts</u> None	<b>Disposition</b>
Highest Offense Level (Terminated) None	
<u>Complaints</u> None	<b>Disposition</b>
Assigned to: Judge William G. Bassler	

**Disposition** 

# <u>Defendant (2)</u> SHAHZAD AHMED

## **Pending Counts**

None

## **<u>Highest Offense Level (Opening)</u>**

None

Terminated Counts None	<u>Disposition</u>
Highest Offense Level (Terminated) None	
<u>Complaints</u> None	<u>Disposition</u>
Assigned to: Judge William G. Bassler	
Defendant (3) MOHAMMAD ANSAR	
Pending Counts None	<b>Disposition</b>
Highest Offense Level (Opening) None	
Terminated Counts None	<b>Disposition</b>
Highest Offense Level (Terminated) None	
<u>Complaints</u> None	<u>Disposition</u>

# <u>Plaintiff</u>

USA

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
11/03/1994		Case closed (mgr) (Entered: 12/08/1994)



## **Report: David Headley Cooperating in Chicago Terror Probe**

By Jeralyn, Section Terror Trials | Posted on Tue Nov 24, 2009 at 08:09:00 AM EST

The Chicago Tribune reports that terror suspect David Headley, aka Daood Gilani, <u>is cooperating with authorities</u> and providing information about the Mumbai terror attacks of November, 2008.

David Coleman Headley, who has been cooperating with authorities, is being investigated as a scout for the Mumbai attack, which targeted multiple sites, including two hotels, a train station, a cafe and a Jewish community center. A source familiar with the probe said Headley's co-defendant in the newspaper case, Tahawwur Hussain Rana, is suspected to have paid for Headley's India missions.

As I <u>wrote here</u>, it wouldn't be the first time Headley has cooperated. Under his original name, Daood Gilani, he worked his way out of a 1997 heroin case by providing information to the DEA. He ended up with a 15 month sentence (his co-defendant James Lewis got 100 months.) After Headley/Gilani was released from prison, and while he was on supervised release, the court granted him permission to travel to Pakistan. The Government then joined his request to terminate his supervised release three years early. [More...]

07/20/1999 ORDER as to Daood Saleem Gilani, endorsed on letter dated 7/14/99 from Howard Leader to Judge Amon, **requesting permission to travel to Pakistan from 8.10.99 through 9.15.99. Application granted.** (Signed by Judge Carol B. Amon , on 7/16/99) (Jean (Entered: 07/20/1999)

11/16/2001 CALENDAR ENTRY as to Daood Saleem Gilani; Case called before Judge Carol B. Amon on 11/16/01 for Status Conf. ESR: Loan Hong. AUSA: Michael Beys; Howard Leader, Esq. for the Deft. **Joint application** for termination of Supervised Release granted. (Permaul, Jenny) (Entered: 11/20/2001) (my emphasis)

12/27/2001 ORDER as to Daood Saleem Gilani. It is ordered that the releasee be discharged from supervised release and that the proceedings in the case be terminated. Signed by Judge Carol B. Amon, on 12/18/01. (Entered: 12/27/2001)

Further review of court records from the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York reveal that in addition to his own case involving importation of heroin from Pakistan, Gilani/Headley was the Government's "star witness" against a defendant in a similar case, U.S. v. Ikram Haq. (Headley/Gilani was busted in February, 1997. By March, he was cooperating. In July, Haq and a co-defendant, Maroof Ahmed were arrested and charged.) Headley/Gilani must not have been too credible because Ikram Haq, the only one who went to trial, was acquitted. Before Haq's trial, the AUSA trying the case, Eric Tirschwell (now a defense attorney, who interestingly represents both Bernie Kerik and one of the Guantanamo detainees released to Palau) moved the court to provide Haq's defense counsel with two paragraphs of Gilani's probation report under "Giglio" (meaning it contained impeachment evidence that the Government is required to turn over.) From the docket:

07/28/1998 LETTER dated 7/28/98 from AUSA Eric A. Tirschwell to Sam A. Schmidt, Esq. that I am attaching two excerpts from Mr. Gilani's presentence report which Judge Amon today authorized to be released pursuant to Giglio v. United States. (Entered: 07/29/1998)

As to why Maroof pleaded guilty before trial: He was between a rock and a hard place as in April, 1999, he was also charged, along with his brother Shahzad, in a heroin case in the Southern District of New York. Shahzad went to trial (Gilani/Headley does not appear to have been a witness in that case) and got 262 months.

According to <u>this article</u>, the charges involved \$1 million of heroin imported from Pakistan. Just last month, the Second Circuit <u>rejected Shazhad's habeas petition</u>. Maroof is serving 78 months at the federal prison camp in Schuylkill, PA and posting <u>internet requests for pen pals</u>.

So Headley began cooperating with the DEA in 1997, was not a convincing witness for the DEA in 1998, but the Government didn't object to him going to Pakistan in 1999 after he got released from prison at Ft. Dix, and still sought his early termination from supervised release at the end of 2001.

Given that history, it's not surprising Headley is going to cooperate and implicate others in his new terror case. The lawyer for Tahawwur Hussain Rana, Headley's current codefendant in the Chicago case, says <u>Headley may have duped his client</u>.

And now, the probe is expanding to determine whether Headley was involved in the Mumbai attacks. India newspapers today report that Harakat-ul-Jihad-Islami (HuJI) commander Ilyas Kashmiri, has been <u>arrested in</u> Pakistan at the request of the F.B.I.

Besides Headley and Rana, Kashmiri is among the five players listed by FBI in its affidavits against the terror duo in a Chicago court. Former commander in the Afghan jihad, Kashmiri was first arrested in October 2005 on charges of attacks against then Pakistan president Pervez Musharraf in 2003. He was, however, released and has since been coordinating with LeT members for their terror operations through well-trained and educated jihadis.

As to Individual A and B, <u>unnamed in the Chicago Complaint</u>, <u>this news article takes a stab</u> at identifying them. So, if the F.B.I. ordered Kashmiri's arrest, or is planning on going after Individual A, is it planning on bringing either one to the U.S. to face charges in Chicago -- using Headley again as their star witness? That might be good news for the other defendants.

I hope the DEA and Department of Justice at some point explain their embrace of Headley/Gilani -- especially after his testimony failed to result in the conviction of the charged defendant. Maybe Maroof, who is looking for a pen pal, has some information to share. Or maybe the feds can offer Shahzad and Maroof some time off their drug sentences if they have information on Headley or his associates in Pakistan.

If Headley got involved with terrorists -- and keep in mind the charges are not evidence, just allegations -- it either happened after he stopped working for the DEA (a date we don't know, but appears to be 2002 or later) or it happened before they took him on as an informant and cooperator, or while he was working for them, in which case, they aren't going to look too smart for missing it.

All Content Copyright ©2002-2016. Reprints only by permission from TalkLeft.com.



# How Did David Headley Outwit the DEA?

By Jeralyn, Section Terror Trials | Posted on Mon May 16, 2011 at 08:44:00 AM EST

When the Chicago terror case against Daood Gilani, aka David Coleman Headley and Tawawwur Hussein Rana first came to light, the most striking fact was that Headley had two prior heroin convictions and bargained his way out of heavy time for both by cooperating for the DEA. After 13 years of on and off again cooperation, he wasn't a newbie at the cooperation game, and he was well known to his handlers. Yet the DEA dropped the ball on Headley big time. And no heads have rolled. In 1988 Gilani/Headley was busted at the airport in Frankfurt, Germany, when a customs officer asked to check his belongings. Finding 2 kilos of heroin inside, he called for a D.E.A. agent stationed nearby and who arrived at the scene? Derek Maltz. Maltz, who has since been promoted to head of DEA Special Operations, is 48 now. (He still crows after every big bust, but he's been focused more on Mexico and South America lately, it seems. Here's a You Tube video of him a few months ago, pleased as punch with his new perps. Or read this description of one of his many talks. He's been with the agency 25 years (his father also spent his career in drug enforcement). He would have been 25 when he was stationed in Frakfurt and made Headley's bust. Within two days (probably on the flight home) Headley agreed to cooperate. Two days later, he was back home at his apartment in Philly, all wired up for his first snare. The two unwitting dupes he snared that day were Richard Roundtree and Darryl "Tarik" Scoggins. Headley got a 4 year sentence, and when he came out in 1992, it wasn't for long. He had a heroin addiction (probably picked up years earlier) was sent for treatment in 1994, and then back to jail for 6 months in 1995. In 1997, he got caught again with kilos of heroin, and got an even sweeter deal. (The court docket is here.)Although detained without bond since February, 1997 when he was arrested, by May, 1997, he had agreed to cooperate, and the Government agreed to release him on bail pending sentencing in September -so he'd be free to make new cases for the DEA. This time he worked for the DEA in New York, where he set up Ikram Haq and Maroof Ahmed (details here.) Before trial, Maroof picked up a case in the Southern District. so he wasn't tried with Ikram. Gilani/Headley was the star witness against Haq at trial. It was a he said/he said case. The jury believed Haq and acquitted him. Not a good thing for an informant's resume. He also set up a guy named Zaheer Barbar, who had flown off to Pakistan to bring back 1 kilo. Barbar pleaded guilty. By September, 1998, he had done enough busts to earn, according to the DEA and prosecutor, a sentence reduction from 10 years down to 15 months. The agents told the judge that in addition to the busts, Gilani/Headley had helped translate hours of tape-recorded telephone intercepts, and coached drug agency investigators on how to question Pakistani suspects. He shuttled off to Fort Dix to serve his time in Dec. 1998. With credit for time he served in pretrial detention, he had about 7 months to serve. He was out by July, 1999, and placed on 5 years supervised release. As soon as he got out of Fort Dix, his lawyers asked for permission for him to go to Pakistan for five weeks in August. The Government didn't object and the Court granted the request. He returned, and two years into his supervised release, in November, 2001, two months after 9/11, Gilani/Headley and the Government make a joint application to terminate his supervised release three years early. The DEA told the judge they considered him "reliable and forthcoming" and they wanted him to go to Pakistan to develop intelligence on Pakistani drug traffickers. According to the probation officer, it was a rushed affair. He had to apologize for not being in court attire, and the prosecutors apologized for not having enough time to put the motion in writing. The Court granted it. One thing that was clear from the hearing, was the DEA had more than drug cases in mind for Headley. Headley left for Pakistan in December, 2001. So he had a working agreement with the DEA in 2001 when he went off to Pakistan.

Coincidentally (or not) this was the same month the Government designated LeT a terror organization. By February, 2002,Gilani/Headley was enrolled in his first LeT training program. When Headley was debriefed by the Indian Authorities in Chicago, <u>he told them</u>,

It was in 2001 that I decided to join the LeT and fight for the cause of jihad. Since my video business was not doing well, I rented it out and moved to Pakistan where my thoughts on jihad and Salafi Islam got strength.

So he knew before he left for Pakistan he was going to join LeT. The clueless DEA had no idea, they signed him up as an informant thinking he was working just for them. Plenty of egg to go around all the faces of the DEA who were involved with this genius move. In Feb.2002, he undertook Daura-e-Sufa training of three weeks in Muridke. In August, 2002, he attended LeT's Daura-e-Aam training in Muzaffarabad. In April 2003, he attended the threemonth long Daura-e-Khas at Muzaffarabad. In September, 2003, he took unarmed close quarter combat training with the LeT. It was at the September training that he met LeT commander-in-chief Zaki-ur-Rehman Lakhvi. Where was the DEA? Still clueless, apparently. They were probably feeding him information and money which he fed right back to LeT. The DEA has given conflicting answers on when he stopped working for them, but there are many news reports that a copy of his cooperation agreement is expected to be introduced at Rana's trial. The DEA and FBI have joint terrorism/drug task forces, so he was probably working for both. Was he still working for them in 2006 when he decided to change his name to David Headley? The main question is why did the DEA sign up a heroin addict with two strikes to work for them in Pakistan? Why didn't they send a handler with him? Why didn't they keep tabs on him? How did they not realize he had switched sides or was playing both sides? They didn't have a clue until the British told them. More egg, please. If the DEA hadn't agreed to terminate his supervised release in 2001, Gilani/Headley would have been stuck here until 2003. He wouldn't have had the benefit of a DEA cover to allow him to travel around the world joining terror groups. So who is responsible for creating David Headley the terrorist? In my view, it's the D.E.A. And what happened to the young DEA Agent Derek Maltz? Despite the fiasco of Gilani/Headley, he got promoted, to where he's now in charge of DEA Special Operations Division in Virginia, and in the news every week touting the agency's latest and greatest huge international bust and the importance of information sharing among law enforcement. Headley's got a lot of explaining to do on cross-examination. I think Rana's team will be up to the task. I hope the judge doesn't cut them off at the knees, kow-towing to the prosecution's stated intention of keeping the Pakistani government and ISI in particular, out of the trial. As to what exactly Rana is alleged to have done, it's very simple. Headley changed his name and used Rana's immigration business as a cover and to get new documents so he could go scouting in India. Headley told him he was doing missions for the ISI. Rana, a former Pakistani Army doctor, thought by helping Headley, he was helping the ISI. The Judge won't allow that at trial either, but he can say he was duped by Headley. Rana faces up to life in prison if convicted. And while he's the one on trial this week, make no mistake: All eyes will be on the Government's star witness, Daood Gilani, aka David Headley. All of our coverage on the case is available here.

All Content Copyright ©2002-2016. Reprints only by permission from TalkLeft.com.