

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA
FOURTH DISTRICT

=====

CASE NO.
L.T. CASE NO. 2020-002293

=====

COMPASS HEALTH SYSTEMS, P.A. and SHEILA M. ROWAN, M.D.,

Petitioners,

v.

,

Respondant.


=====

ON REVIEW FROM AN ORDER OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT,
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

=====

RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

=====



RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

1. Respondant/Plaintiff [REDACTED] PRO SE (herein "plaintiff") opposes the unethical petition filed by Petitioners/Defendants (herein "defendants"). Plaintiff will not appeal any dismissal of this action by the lower Court (even if the appeal has merit). However, it is clear defendants will file numerous petitions/appeals as part of their tantrum (none of which have merit).

2. Plaintiff attaches at Appendix A, the First Amended Complaint ("FAC") filed in this matter pursuant to Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.190. This is the current operative complaint. The defendants were granted 30 days to respond to the complaint (by November 14th). Count 1 alleges conspiracy to commit intentional infliction of emotional distress ("IIED") and false imprisonment. Count 2 alleges false imprisonment. Count 3 alleges IIED. Counts 4-6 are lessor included medical malpractice claims occurring within overarching conspiracy claim which started in 1987.

3. Section 766.106 defines the term "claim for medical negligence" to mean a claim "arising out of the rendering of, or the failure to render, medical care or services." §766.106(1)(a), Fla. Stat. (EMPHASIS ADDED). These claims do not arise out of Dr. Rowan

rendering (or failing to render) medical services. They arise out of attempted sexual molestation imposed upon Plaintiff in 1987, which Dr. Rowan was hired to help cover-up in 2018 as part of an ongoing criminal conspiracy to silence the whistleblower Plaintiff (as documented in FAC). No sleight of hand by defense counsel can change the facts which are fully articulated in dozens of federal filings long before the litigation was transferred to State Court.

4. Plaintiff met with Dr. Rowan for 13 minutes. All Plaintiff did was inform her about the attempted sexual molestation in 1987 and provide her proof that his molester was part of a network of elites close to high-level government officials (to include Hillary Clinton). Once Dr. Rowan confirmed Plaintiff's allegations to be true, she knowingly forged a false medical report to classify them as "schizophrenic delusions" to assist the federal authorities with their cover-up (which has been ongoing since 1987). Plaintiff's claims are not arising out of Dr. Rowan's rendering (or failing to render) medical services. They arise out of sexual molestation in 1987, which Dr. Rowan knowingly and falsely alleges to be a "schizophrenic delusion" in 2018 as part of the illegal continued cover-up of that heinous crime. The defendant's further claim:

"Kabbaj argued that Dr. DiCowden was qualified to render an expert opinion against Dr. Rowan because Dr. Rowan was hired to merely provide a diagnosis of Kabbaj's mental condition, which is a service that a psychologist may also provide. (A. 7 at p. 8). **Kabbaj did not explain how** such argument reconciled with the mandatory language of Section 766.102(5) requiring an expert to specialize in the same specialty, nor did he otherwise cite any legal authority in support of his position. (A. 7)." (Emphasis Added)

5. The defendants conveniently fail to provide the Appellate Court with the amendment to his response to their Motion for stay pending this petition, which is attached as Appendix B. The amendment documents that the probation department already represented Dr. Rowan regarding the purpose for which she was hired, which is to provide a schizophrenia diagnosis to justify Plaintiff's imprisonment in revocation proceedings that were **already ongoing**. Plaintiff had his Probation revoked for refusing to participate in "anger management" classes **before** being sent to Dr. Rowan to justify the revocation. The probation department could not use prior false schizophrenia diagnosis issued in prison to revoke Plaintiff's release while on Probation, so they needed a newly forged schizophrenia diagnosis to "confirm" Plaintiff was already in violation of his Probation after being released. As a result of that

configuration, Dr. Rowan was merely hired to confirm a prior false diagnosis to trigger Plaintiff's imprisonment, which she did.

6. Plaintiff warned Dr. Rowan not to falsely accuse him of "hallucinating" the sexual molestation in 1987 because the feds were planning to imprison him over it, and she still forged the false diagnosis anyway despite knowing that the evaluation would be recorded by Plaintiff (to which she did not consent because of demonstrated intent to commit a crime). The only reason why federal proceedings were held up for over a year since Dr. Rowan issued the false diagnosis, is because probation attempted to falsely claim that Plaintiff's recording of Dr. Rowan was illegal under Florida law. That false claim is what caused Plaintiff to hire Dr. DiCowden to dispute Dr. Rowan (as a backup plan). As Plaintiff continued to threaten to submit the recording into evidence in the Court, Probation finally did attempt illegal, false imprisonment to try and cover up the crimes of Dr. Rowan. Once they triggered the false imprisonment, they tried to force Plaintiff to participate in another unrecorded evaluation to substitute for the one Plaintiff recorded with Dr. Rowan, and when Plaintiff refused, they were forced to admit Dr. DiCowden was qualified to dispute Dr. Rowan in order to release Plaintiff from

indefinite imprisonment (by mooted his right to submit the recording to dispute her if they attempted to defend her). Defendants thereby waived all the rights they are now attempting to re-assert after Plaintiff transferred his claims to State court. Plaintiff reviewed each and every precedent cited by the defendants, not one of them have facts which are even remotely similar to the instant case. Based upon the specific facts of this instant case, Plaintiff has demonstrated that there is no basis (at this time) to dismiss counts 1-3 of this complaint, and no basis to dismiss lesser included counts 4-6.

7. The recording stands as incontrovertible proof that Dr. Rowan committed an intentional tort to cover up the crimes of a Hillary Clinton-affiliated pedophile ring (yet these same officials are out there calling people who believe in these conspiracies as being crazy “qanon” followers and “insurrectionists”, meanwhile all the claims about these government officials are true).

8. If a female had walked into a doctor’s office to report a rape committed against her by a Supreme Court justice, or a New York governor, or any other male in a power position, imagine the outcry (in this age of “me too”) if the doctor decides to diagnose her with schizophrenic delusion to protect her male aggressor by having her

falsely imprisoned in a hospital or jail just for reporting the sexual assault. Yet when a female doctor illegally imprisons a male victim for reporting a homosexual assault (committed by a murderous pedophile organization), the best law firms in Florida will jump at the opportunity to secure favor with these trillionaire Satanists.

9. Money can't buy you heaven.

Respectfully Submitted on October 5th, 2021.


CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Plaintiff hereby certifies that this Response was prepared using Bookman Old Style 14-point proportionally spaced font in accordance with Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.045(b); and, (ii) has 1,334 words and thus complies with and does not exceed the 13,000 word count limit set forth in Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.100(g), as calculated by the word-processing system used to prepare the Petition.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that, on this 31st day of October 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document and appendix was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Courts using the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal and served on this day via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal on: Marc J. Schleier, attorney for Defendants at MSchleier@fowler-white.com

/s/

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the signature and name of the attorney.