



From: alex@cryptomedia.org
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2016 5:57 PM
To: 'Kfitzgib@law.nyc.gov'; 'jweng@law.nyc.gov'
Subject: Motion Stay

Tracking:	Recipient	Read
	'Kfitzgib@law.nyc.gov'	Read: 2/12/2016 6:24 PM
	'jweng@law.nyc.gov'	

Mr. Saavedra cc you guys so just reminding you I need answer by no later than today because I will start writing the motion by tomorrow and inform the Court you are opposed to it.



There is a reason why Antonin Scalia is also from Elmhurst, because Queens is the most multicultural region in the nation, and somehow we accomplish unity without attempting to molest our kids into sexual dysfunction by trying to convince them that their bodies are not real and can be 'changed' by merely willing it to be so. There is no doubt that Antonin Scalia is clearly the greatest legal mind in the entire assembled federal judiciary, and we claim him as one of us for a reason because where we come from, we don't play that faggot shit molestation of children. I don't give a fuck what two consenting adults want to do in the privacy of their own bedrooms, but I do give a fuck that there are people out there seeking to exploit children for person evil reasons. If you guys want to be gay, fine, but why do you need to push these beliefs on the children who did nothing wrong and are innocent in this matter? Why do you faggots hide behind children by claiming that there is a medical/scientific need to tell children that it is OK to be gay when telling them such a thing will cause them to lose the desire to always orient their sexual activities in a way that can produce offspring (just in case they would someday like children without needing to become dependent upon the state to obtain them).





Skipping Scalia autopsy spawns conspiracy theories

By [Gregory Krieg](#), CNN

Updated 7:41 PM ET, Tue February 16, 2016

(CNN)Three days after Justice Antonin Scalia was found dead in his room at a luxury hunting ranch in remote West Texas, the conspiracy theories about his passing continue to swirl. A local judge's decision not to order a post-mortem examination have triggered a round of questions ranging from scrutiny of the procedures to the bizarre. Republican presidential candidate Donald Trump on Monday referenced a report from the scene about Scalia's body when asked on a radio show to comment on the possibility that Scalia may have been murdered and whether there should be an independent investigation into this death. "They say they found a pillow on his face, which is a pretty unusual place to find a pillow," Trump said on conservative radio host Michael Savage's show "The Savage Nation." Savage called for "the equivalent of a Warren Commission"-style investigation into Scalia's death. In a statement Tuesday, the owner of the ranch clarified to CNN what he meant when he told the San Antonio Express the judge was found with a "pillow over his head." "I think enough disclosures were made and what I said precisely was accurate. He had a pillow over his head, not over his face as some have been saying," John Poindexter, owner of the Cibolo Creek Ranch, where Scalia was found, told CNN over the phone. "The pillow was against the headboard and over his head when he was discovered. He looked like someone who had had a restful night's sleep. There was no evidence of anything else." A U.S. law-enforcement source told CNN: "There was absolutely nothing out of the ordinary in Justice Scalia's room. There were no signs of foul play." The source added that law-enforcement agents know the difference between someone dying in their sleep and being suffocated to death with a pillow. But despite the assertions of law enforcement and local justice officials that all signs pointed to death from natural causes, questions about the process they followed have flared on social media. The former head of criminal investigations for the Washington, D.C., police, poured fuel on the conspiracy theory fire. "As a former homicide commander, I am stunned that no autopsy was ordered for Justice Scalia," William O. Ritchie wrote in a Facebook post on Sunday, according to reports. After seeking to cast doubt on the conclusion of the deputy U.S. marshals who responded to a call from the ranch, he added, "My gut tells me there is something fishy going on in Texas." Ritchie told CNN on Tuesday that there is still time to act because Scalia isn't lying in repose at the Supreme Court until Friday and his funeral isn't until Saturday. Antonin Scalia to lie in repose at the Supreme Court on Friday "At least you have a trained medical professional look and make an examination," Ritchie said. "There is sufficient time to do that." The conspiracy theories surrounding the death run the gamut. In an "emergency transmission" posted on Facebook, Infowars' Alex Jones said, "The question is was Anthony (sic) Scalia murdered?" while the site Harddawn.com speculated that "the Illuminati" might have been responsible, calling Leonard Nimoy — who died last year — "the wild card in this equation." And a number of sites have made reference to a so-called "heart attack gun," a secret CIA weapon that could, per their claims, have been used to kill Scalia. At issue are a series of decisions made by the Texas county judge who took charge in the aftermath of Scalia's passing when two justices of the peace sought out by those at the ranch could not make it to the site. Reached by The Washington Post late Monday, Brian Monahan, a U.S. Navy rear admiral and the doctor who had reportedly cared for Scalia, declined to comment, citing "patient confidentiality." The county judge, Cinderela Guevara, didn't immediately respond to CNN's request for comment. Guevara was within her rights to declare Scalia dead without having seen the body under Texas law, the Washington Post said. The U.S. Marshals Service coordinates with the Supreme Court police to provide security for the justices but they may decline protection. In this instance, the USMS detail was declined, so USMS personnel were not present at the ranch. Deputy U.S. Marshals from the Western District of Texas responded immediately upon notification of Scalia's death. Members of the high court do not routinely make their health information public, like presidents and presidential candidates. Guevara did not order an autopsy -- the same decision, according to The Post, that the Scalia family made when speaking to the El Paso funeral home that received his body. George Washington University law professor Jonathan Turley said an autopsy should have been performed. "Frankly, I'm surprised there was not an autopsy," Turley told CNN's Dugald McConnell. "I was also surprised at how casual the treatment of the scene appeared to be. I mean, you had someone pronounced dead over the description of marshals on the phone. This is not just anyone, this is a member of the U.S. Supreme Court, one of the highest officials in the judicial branch, therefore one of the highest officials in our government." Asked about the conspiracy theories, Turley said, "There's obviously an insatiable desire from many people to look at facts and see something untoward or suspicious. The Internet doesn't help that ... We are living at a time where conspiracy theories are a virtual sport. The fact that you had a justice who died and was left in his room for hours doesn't mean this is some Hollywood script. What it probably means is that a justice passed away in his room and was left for hours. Nino Scalia did have medical problems, he was 79 years old." An earlier version of this story misidentified Jonathan Turley. He is a professor of law at George Washington University.

Mary Kay Mallonee contributed to this report.



Napolitano: Justice Scalia Thought Obama Spied on Supreme Court

Tuesday, May 16, 2017 12:13 PM

By: Theodore Bunker

Judge Andrew Napolitano said on Fox Business Monday that the late Justice Antonin Scalia told him "that he often thought that the court was being surveilled" roughly four or five years ago. Napolitano appeared on the program to discuss the claim made by Sen. Rand Paul, R-Ky., accusing the Obama administration of spying on him and another senator. "It's about your own government spying on the opposition party," Paul said on "America's News HQ" last week. "That would be enormous if it's true." When asked about Paul's claim, Napolitano said, "Well, they're most likely true. Think of this as a three-step process: Surveillance, which is acquisition in a digital version of every keystroke on every computer, and every communication on every cell phone phone and landline phone; storage, which is the maintenance of the digital versions of these communications; and then unmasking, which is accessing this data and finding out the names of the people who are actually surveilled." He added that "It is beyond dispute that the NSA has access to, if it wants, it would be unlawful for them to do this, but they have it, every phone call of every person in the United States of America since 2005." Napolitano continued, saying, "It is the use to which the raw intelligence data can be put that makes it criminal. If they had to unmask Sen. Paul's name in order to understand a conversation he was having with a foreign agent and the foreign agent was harmful to the United States, they can do that. That's not what he's talking about. They're talking about them unmasking him while he's having a conversation with his campaign manager when he's running in the Republican primary in 2016. "The use of intelligence data for political purposes is a felony ... Unmasking is illegal if done for any reason other than national security."